

Groups: Let S be

a set. S is a

group if there exists

a binary operation

$$\ast : S \times S \rightarrow S$$

satisfying

1) (associativity)

$\forall g, h, k \in S,$

$$g * (h * k) = (g * h) * k$$

2) (existence of identity)

$\exists e_S \in S$ such that

$\forall g \in S,$

$$g * e_S = e_S * g = g$$

3) (existence of inverses)

$\forall g \in S, \exists h \in S$ with

$$h * g = g * h = e_S$$

Abelian (commutative) Groups

We say a group
 $(S, *)$ is abelian

if $\forall g, h \in S,$

$$g * h = h * g$$

Examples:

1) Let X be any

Set . Consider

$$S = \{ f : X \rightarrow X \mid f \text{ is bijective} \}.$$

With the binary operation

of function composition

(denoted by " \circ "), S

becomes a group .

Check that S is a group

1) Is " \circ " a binary operation? That is,
if f and g are bijections,
is $f \circ g$ a bijection?

a) Surjectivity:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Range of } g &= \text{range of } f = X, \\ \text{so } (f \circ g)(x) &= f(g(x)) \\ &= f(x) = X \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

b) injectivity

let $s, t \in X$

and suppose

$$(f \circ g)(s) = (f \circ g)(t).$$

Then $f(g(s)) = f(g(t))$.

f injective $\Rightarrow g(s) = g(t)$.

Then g injective $= s = t.$ ✓

2) Associativity

automatic since
function composition
is associative.

3) Identity

$$e_S(t) = t \text{ . Check!}$$

if $f \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}(f \circ e_S)(t) &= f(e_S(t)) \\ &= f(t)\end{aligned}$$

$$(e_S \circ f)(t)$$

$$= e_S(f(t)) = f(t) \quad \checkmark$$

4) Inverses

Given $f \in S$, we know
 f is bijective. Therefore,
for all $t \in X$, \exists
(by surjectivity) a unique
(by injectivity) $y \in X$ with
 $f(y) = t$.

Define $g: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$g(t) = y \quad \forall t \in X.$$

g is a bijection

since f is, and

for all $t \in X$,

$$(f \circ g)(t) = f(g(t))$$

$$= f(y)$$

$$= t = e_S(t)$$

$\forall y \in X,$

$$(g \circ f)(y)$$

$$= g(f(y))$$

$$= g(t)$$

$$= y = e_S(y).$$

Therefore,

$$f \circ g = g \circ f = e_S \quad \checkmark$$

We usually denote
 $S = \text{Sym}(X)$, the
symmetries of X .

When $|X| > 2$,

then $\text{Sym}(X)$ is
not abelian. When

$|X| = n$, we usually

write S_n for $\text{Sym}(X)$.

If we let

$S = \mathbb{Z}$ with " $+$ "

as the binary, then

S is an abelian group.

Identity = 0

(Inverse of n) = $-n$

3) Contra position

The contra positive

of a Statement

(If P, then Q)

is the statement

(If not Q, then not P).

The truth value of a statement and its contra positive are identical .

Proposition If G is
a group, then the
identity element is unique.

Proof: Take the
contrapositive and prove it:
"If the identity element
either does not exist or
is not unique, then
 G is not a group."

If the identity element does not exist, then G cannot be a group.

If there is more than one identity, there are at least two, e_1 and e_2 . We'll show G is not associative.

If G has no binary operation, then we don't even speak of an identity,

so let " $*$ " be the binary operation.

$$e_1 * (e_2 * e_1)$$

$$= e_1 * e_2 \text{ (since } e_1 \text{ is an identity)}$$

$$= e_2 \text{ (same reasoning)}$$

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_1$$

$$= e_1 * e_2 \text{ (since } e_1 \text{ is an identity)}$$

$$= e_1 \text{ (since } e_2 \text{ is an identity)}.$$

As $e_1 \neq e_2$,

$$(e_1 * e_2) * e_1 \neq e_1 * (e_2 * e_1),$$

so G is not a group
(fails associativity). □

Fields A Field

is a set \mathbb{F}

endowed with two
binary operations,

"+" and "

such that

1) $(\mathbb{F}, +)$ is an
abelian group

$$2) (\overline{F} \setminus \{O_F\}, \cdot)$$

is an abelian group,

where O_F is the

identity element for

$$(F, +).$$

3) Distributivity:

$$\forall g, h, k \in F,$$

$$g \cdot (h+k) = g \cdot h + g \cdot k$$

Notation for multiplicative
identity: | \overline{F}

Examples: \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{R}

are fields with the usual addition and multiplication operations.

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x+iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a field with,
for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(x+iy) + (z+iw) \\= (x+z) + i(y+w)\end{aligned}$$

$$(x+iy) \cdot (z+iw)$$

$$= (xz - yw) + i(yz + xw).$$

\mathbb{Z}_p is a field

for p a prime

number.

4) Proof by Exhaustion (case analysis)

Divide the proof into many cases, prove each case individually.

Theorem (triangle inequality)

IF x, y , and z are
in \mathbb{R} ,

$$|x-y| \leq |x-z| + |z-y|.$$

Proof: Letting $a = x - z$

and $b = z - y$,

$$a+b = x-y.$$

We reduce to showing

$$|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Square both sides to obtain the inequality

$$(a+b)^2 \leq (|a|+|b|)^2,$$

which becomes

$$\cancel{a^2} + \cancel{2ab} + \cancel{b^2} \leq \cancel{a^2} + \cancel{2|a||b|} + \cancel{b^2}.$$

Via cancellation, we reduce to showing

$$ab \leq |a| \cdot |b|.$$

Case 1: $a, b \geq 0$.

Then $|a|=a$, $|b|=b$,
so $ab = |a||b|$.

Case 2: $a \geq 0$, $b < 0$.

Then $ab \leq 0 \leq |a||b|$

Case 3: $a < 0, b \geq 0$

same as Case 2

Case 4: $a < 0, b < 0$

$$a \cdot b = |a| |b| \quad \square$$